



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/700,236	05/09/2001	Xiong Zhang	83973/269224	3694
27498	7590	10/12/2007	EXAMINER	
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP			SONG, MATTHEW J	
P.O. BOX 10500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MCLEAN, VA 22102			1792	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/12/2007		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/700,236	ZHANG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew J. Song	1792

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/3/2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura (US 5,290,393) in view of Tischler et al (US 5,679,152) and Tischler et al ("Defect Reduction in GaAs epitaxial layers using GaAsP-InGaAs strained layer superlattice").

Nakamura teaches forming a buffer layer of $\text{Ga}_x\text{Al}_{1-x}\text{N}$ on a substrate at a first temperature and forming an epitaxial layer of a gallium nitride based compound on the buffer at a second temperature (col 4, ln 1-10). Nakamura also teaches AlN, which is grown at a low temperature, is a polycrystalline layer and when the temperature of the substrate is increased to about 1000°C in order to form GaN (col 4, ln 40-55), the polycrystalline layer partially becomes

Art Unit: 1792

monocrystalline, this reads on applicant's intermediate buffer layer partially recrystallizes at said temperature. Nakamura also teaches the temperature of epitaxial growth is 900-1150°C and the temperature for the polycrystalline buffer layer is 200-900°C (col 5, ln 50-60 and col 6, ln 15-25). Nakamura also teaches forming a p-type or n-type GaN epitaxial layer (col 6, ln 1-15).

Nakamura also teaches growing the buffer layer and the epitaxial layer using MOCVD (col 1, ln 1-67 and Example 1).

Nakamura teaches forming a single buffer layer. Nakamura does not teach forming a MOCVD periodic or non-periodic amorphous or polycrystalline intermediate multi-layered buffer having at least three layers with each layer having a thickness in the range of 2nm-6nm on a substrate in which the layers alternate between at least two types of compound semiconductors A and B different from each other in lattice constant, energy band gap, layer thickness and composition.

In a method of making GaN single crystals, Tischler et al teaches dislocations arising from lattice mismatch are reduced in GaN layers by using buffer layers which may be a single compound, a compositionally graded layer structure or a superlattice structure comprising alternating layers A and B, where A and B are selected from GaN, AlN, and InN and alloys of SiC with these nitrides, this reads on applicant's A and B different in lattice constant, energy band gap and composition. Tischler et al also teaches the strained superlattice can comprise 5 to 200 alternating A and B layers. Tischler et al also teaches by using such superlattices, it is possible to force misfit dislocations to the edge of the substrate instead of permitting them to propagate up into the growing layer and such superlattice buffer layers have been characterized previously (col 4, ln 1-67). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at

Art Unit: 1792

the time of the invention to modify Nakamura by using a superlattice buffer, as taught by Tischler et al, to reduce lattice mismatch by forming misfit dislocations to the edge of the substrate.

The combination of Nakamura and Tischler et al does not teach the thickness of each layer is 2 nm to 6 nm and layers A and B have a different thickness.

In a method of defect reduction in epitaxial layers using superlattices, Tischler et al teaches a superlattice is constructed of layers with different lattice constants such that layers are alternately under compression and tension. Tischler et al also teaches the layers are thinner than a maximum thickness such that the strain is accommodated elastically, but greater than a minimum thickness required for “bending over” the dislocations, this is a teaching that the thickness of the layers of the superlattice are result effective variables. Tischler et al also teaches a ten period superlattice buffer (SLB) grown using MOCVD at a growth temperature of 630°C. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Nakamura and Tischler et al ('152) by optimizing the thickness of each layer of the superlattice, as taught by Tischler et al to obtain different thickness of each layer between 2 and 6nm to prevent dislocation propagation from the substrate.

Referring to claim 2, the combination of Nakamura, Tischler et al ('152) and Tischler et al teaches a p-type or n-type epitaxial layer ('393 col 6, ln 1-10).

Referring to claim 3-4, the combination of Nakamura, Tischler et al ('152) and Tischler et al teaches using MOCVD to grow the buffer layers ('393 Example 1 and Tischler pg 294).

Referring to claims 5 and 11, the combination of Nakamura, Tischler et al ('152) and Tischler et al teaches using GaN, AlN and InN.

Referring to claim 6, the combination of Nakamura, Tischler et al ('152) and Tischler et al teaches a sapphire substrate ('152 col 2, ln 40-50 and '393 Example 1).

Referring to claim 7, the combination of Nakamura, Tischler et al ('152) and Tischler et al teaches the polycrystalline buffer is formed at 200-900°C ('393 col 6, ln 10-25 and Tischler pg 294).

Referring to claims 8, 13 and 14, the combination of Nakamura, Tischler et al ('152) and Tischler et al does not teach the thickness of 24 nm and 3 period of AB units or the buffer thickness is less than 48 nm or 96 nm. The thickness of each buffer layer and the total buffer layer thickness is well known in the art to be a result effective variable, as evidenced by Nakamura (col 5, ln 45-55) and Tischler et al (pg 294). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Nakamura, Tischler et al ('152) and Tischler et al by optimizing the thickness of the superlattice buffer by conducting routine experimentation of a result effective variable to obtain the claimed thickness. Furthermore, where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation. (In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235(CCPA 1955)).

Referring to claims 9-10, the combination of Nakamura, Tischler et al ('152) and Tischler et al teaches GaN, AlN or InN ('152 col 4, ln 35-50).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 7/3/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's argument that a superlattice is periodically grown single crystal, and thus is generally grown at relatively high temperature is noted but not found persuasive. Applicant has merely alleged that all superlattice are single crystals without providing any evidence to support the allegation. Shichijo et al (US 5,238,869) supports the Examiner's position that superlattices are not necessarily single crystalline. Shichijo et al clearly teaches forming a polycrystalline superlattice **118** in column 4, lines 20-30. In the instant case, Tischler et al ('152) teaches forming a superlattice buffer layer and Tischler is silent to the crystallinity of the buffer layer. Nakamura teaches forming a polycrystalline buffer layer. Thus the combination of Tischler and Nakamura suggests a polycrystalline buffer because the Examiner places the emphasis on "buffer" to suggest to one of ordinary skill to form polycrystalline buffers, which would include those in a superlattice arrangement.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Shichijo et al (US 5,238,869) teaches a polycrystalline superlattice (col 4, ln 15-30).

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 1792

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Song whose telephone number is 571-272-1468. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on 571-272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Matthew J Song
Examiner
Art Unit 1792

MJS

October 9, 2007

*/Robert Kunemund/
Robert Kunemund
Primary Examiner
TC 1700*